

Exercises - Set 1

Introduction, basic handling of FLAIR and FLUKA

Niels Bassler <bassler@phys.au.dk> for IFJ-PAN, Krakow; May 2014.

Notes to exercises:

- Exercises are meant as suggestions, you are welcome to deviate from the suggestions (at own risk, we might not be able to help you if you run into trouble).
- All can be done using the FLAIR user interface, which can be started using the command “flair”.
- Flair comes with some plotting capability, but feel free to use any plotting program of your choice.
- Use HADRONTherapy defaults for all simulations, if not specified otherwise.

1. Your first depth dose curves

- In flair, start from “NEW-> BASIC” template, and set up a water tank 20x20x20 cm³ surrounded by air, starting at z = 0 cm, symmetric around the z-axis.
- Set up beam 120 MeV protons, FWHM = 1.0 cm, 0 mrad divergence, starting at z = -200 cm. Statistics 5000 particles x 5 runs will do fine for now.
- scoring: use the USRBIN card with 1 mm precision.
 - Plot 1D dose distribution (Dose versus z) in water tank, make sure all axes have correct units. Score the dose in the entire water tank width (i.e. in 20x20 cm² slabs each 1 mm thick)
 - Check with a quick calculations using the stopping power of protons (<http://dedx.au.dk> or android app), whether the dose is what you expect it to be.
 - as i.) but scoring fluence distributions. Check if the number is what you expect.
 - and again, scoring energy. Check if the results your expectations.
 - 2D Dose distribution colour map at X = 0 cm (pixel size 1x1 mm² x from -10 to +10 cm)
- At what position do you find the Bragg-peak? Check it using the webpage <http://dedx.au.dk> If you see deviations, can you explain the possible reason for deviations?
- Repeat exercise 1., but with 240 MeV/u carbon-12 ions
- insert 1x1x1 cm³ block of ICRP cortical bone halfway into the beam at z = 0 cm.

2. Understanding scoring

- The classic “Where did the Bragg peak go?”-problem.
 - Use the geometry setup from exercise 1) and apply a proton pencil beam (FWHM = 0 cm) at 230 MeV, and increase size of phantom to z = 40 cm.

- ii. as in exercise 1) score again the 1D energy deposition vs depth along the beam, using cylindrical scoring circular $r = 5$ cm slabs, each 1 mm thick.
 - iii. Score again energy vs. depth, but $r = 0.1$ cm. Compare to that calculated previously in ii.
 - iv. Explain what happened to the Bragg peak? (E.g. check with a 2D map).
- b. Another classic: *The divergence problem*. Assume the surrounding air is vacuum, and now consider these three geometries:
- i. A parallel broad $r = 5$ cm circular beam of protons, divergence = 0 mrad
 - ii. And a beam starting at $z = -100$ cm, but select a divergence (using basic trigonometry rules), so the field is $r = 5$ cm when it hits the phantom surface
 - iii. A beam $r = 5$ cm, starting at the phantom surface, still with the same divergence as in 2).

Note that each of the three geometries will have the same spot size ($r = 5$ cm) at the phantom entrance ($z = 0$ cm). Now get for each of these geometries,

1. get a fluence-vs-depth curve,
 - a. with a broad beam scoring $r = 10.0$ cm (encompassing all of the beam) and compare these in one plot
 - b. narrow scoring $r = 0.2$ cm and compare these in a different plot.
2. Why are the plots different? Explain your observations qualitatively.

3. Lateral penumbras

- a. Use the setup from task 1), but use a square beam 5×5 cm², 236 MeV
- b. plot the lateral dose profile along the x.-axis at $z = 30$ cm depth
- c. repeat for 465 MeV/u carbon ions, and plot it along with the proton data.
- d. repeat for 14 MeV photons (mono-energetic), and plot along with the photon data.
- e. BONUS QUESTION for the quick: from what depth have photon beams a better penumbra than protons? [compare with figure 3 in <http://rpd.oxfordjournals.org/content/137/1-2/156.full> (and find one error in the published figure)].]

4. Particle energy spectra

- a. Using the USRTRACK card and the setup from exercise 2 and 238 MeV protons, you can acquire particle energy spectra. Check what the fluence-energy ($d\Phi/dE$ vs E) spectrum is
 - i. ...at the surface where the beam enters the phantom
 - ii. ...close to the Bragg peak.
 while choosing an appropriate energy scoring range.